



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/875,997	06	6/06/2001	John A. Budny	1008-119.US 8126	
23390	7590	08/22/2003			
COLIN P A	BRAHAN	MS	EXAMINER		
5850 CANO SUITE 400	GA AVEN	IUE	WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4 A		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
i ser		••						
	Office Action Summary	09/875,997	BUDNY ET AL.					
	Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin E. Weddington	with the correspondence address					
Period fo		ears on the cover since.	with the conceptional address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	1ay 2003 .						
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims	±х раπе Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
-	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
=	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ariacity under 25 H S C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 8	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)						
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	tion).				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				
S Patent and T								

Application/Control Number: 09/875,997

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

Applicants' terminal disclaimer filed May 5, 2003 has been received and entered.

Accordingly, the rejection made under double patenting as set forth in the previous Office action at pages 2 and 3 is hereby withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-29 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 5-15, 17 and 23-27 of copending Application No. 09/587,818. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/875,997

Art Unit: 1614

The present application teaches a composition comprising an enzyme and an anchor molecular and further comprising an additional enzyme-anchor complex; the copending application teaches a two-component composition comprising a first anchor enzyme complex and a second anchor enzyme complex. The Examiner does not see a difference between the two applications since the applicants are merely combining the two enzyme-anchor complexes together in two separate stages in the present application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rendered indefinite and vague by the phrase "the debris associated therewith" which fails to make it clear what is meant by this phrase. Also the phrase "the biofilm structure and associated debris are present", what debris is present along with the biofilm structure. The remaining claims 2-29 are rendered indefinite to the extent that they incorporate the above terminology.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,997

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-29 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simonson et al. (A).

Simonson et al. teach composition comprising enzymes attached to a carrier component designed to increase affinity of the enzyme to tooth surfaces (see column 2, lines 21-31). The enzymes disclosed by the cited references are glucanohydrolases such as dextranase. Note particularly column 3, lines 35-38 and 51 to column 4, lines 1-10 states the said composition is designed to have increase affinity to the intended surface and to material deposited thereon (biofilm). Every aspect of the instant claim is met by Simonson et al. Therefore, the applicants' instant composition is unpatentable.

Claim 1 is not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (703) 308-4650. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marrianne Seidel can be reached on (703)308-1235. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/875,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

308-4556 for regular communications and (703)308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

K. Weddington August 6, 2003 Kevin E. Weddingto Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614